P.20

G R S 59] GIB—1 000 20 12 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

191 1-2

(Education)

File No. 21

Serial Nos. 1 ——— 8

Papers Filed.

Month May 1902

Countersigned SBJ

SUBJECT

Casual leave to Mr Mahadeva Sastri Curator Oriental Library to attend the Senate Meeting at Madras

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	Indexed L Srinivasa Rao

1

(20).c

+ the State ~~[illegible]~~ in order to enable them to attend more regularly the Senate and thus [illegible] the ~~[illegible]~~ absence - communication has been [illegible] to Greece on this [illegible] - Bell I am not aware of any similar order in the case of Cyprus [illegible] Norman I have [illegible] that there should be a representation - whether the former Whore Keeper of the University or the present honorary - the latter would [illegible] are paid for by Rat- [illegible] - then again in both cases the Chancellor communication are not [illegible] - Kennell [illegible] - the accompanying draft which please [illegible] - Q

29-1-02

x Continuation of the notes on letter D 60 23 dated 20 (& 31) 1871/02 from the Q of Education Office

(24) 2 Note

Mr Mahadeva Sastri of the Oriental Library was absent on Madras in connection with the Board meeting of the Sanskrit Examiners of the M A Degree Examn in November 1901 he left Mysore with the permission of the Dpt on the morning of the 29th Nov 1901 The meeting commenced on the morning of the 30th Idem & continued till noon of the 1st December 1901

Mr Mahadeva Sastri applied for 5 days casual leave with effect from the 2d December ie exclusive of the 4 days (29th Nov & the 1st Dec 1901) spent by him in Madras

Non-payment of 30/31 = 3

The question is how the absence of the individual in Madras should be treated The Dpt on being asked states that "It is a practice of the Department to consider absence for attendance at

at such meetings and for
other business connected with
the University as absence
on duty, although the University pays the
travelling expenses in such cases
The Secretary's note on this
connection is quoted below.—

"The question is whether
this 'rule of the Department'
referred to by Mr Bhabha
should be recognised by Govt
Mr Bhabha & Mr Cook
are recognised to attend
Senate meetings on duty.
Should the rule be extended
to cases of Examiners who
are paid for their work? If so
why should not other officers
(not of the Deptt) who attend
such (Senate) meetings be
also recognised to be absent
on duty?."

Submitted to the 2nd Cr
Intd H.V. 11/3/07

"What is the practice in Madras
or elsewhere in British India
please? Please apply for this

4 ther information officially through the Resident if you cannot get it done officially from Mr Bhabha or otherwise, and if there is nothing about it in the British service Regulations

Intd CS
12/3/02

There is nothing in the British service Regulations So, a D.O letter was written to Mr Bhabha calling for the information The reply received from Mr Bhabha is submitted for orders

It contains no precise information as to the practice in Madras in such cases ~~the~~

(Remind me I shall write to Secretariat)

Intd
21/3/02

22/3

I have ascertained that the rule in the Madras Presidency is that Educational officers who have to go to Madras

5!

on University business are considered to be on duty, but are not entitled to travelling allowance except when the business on which they go entitles them to obtain such allowance from the University.

1/5

The 2nd Councillor

Read 1-5-02

We have recommended may, under the circumstances, be sanctioned. Travelling allowances are not of course claimable —

2/5/02

1/5

5

1

Edn 209

Edn S. 7663

21 of 01-2 1900 16 x 4c - 0 x 11

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Mysore

To H V Nanjundayya Esq Ma, Ba L,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Govt & Rev Depts,
Bangalore

Dated 6—12—1901

Despatched

Received

General No 5079
No 163

No of Enclosures

With reference to this office
Reg No 6742/1698 of 6/12/1901 from
Mr A Mahadeva Sastri BA,
Curator, Oriental Library
Mysore, has the honour
to recommend that five
days' casual leave may
be sanctioned for Mr
A Mahadeva Sastri from
2nd December 1901 under
Article 171 of the Service et
Regulations He is en-
titled to the leave and
has availed himself

Inquire of the last
taken leave to go
to Madras, & how
long

H
7/1

√

of it in anticipation of sanction.

Mr Mahadeva Sastri went to Madras to attend a Meeting of the Sanskrit Examiners in connection with the question papers of the approaching M A Degree Examination and the business of the meeting went on till noon on Sunday the 1st Instant.

H P Shabha
Inspector General

3

S Oldn

FILE NO 217.1-2

SE AT NO The 2 I Gl of Edn in Mysore

No 11635
Ed 228

Dated 11/1/1902

Re Docket No 50790 63 6th Dec 1901, recommending that casual leave for 5 days from the 2d Idem be granted to Rr A Mahadeva Sastri BA, Curator, Oriental Library Mysore, and stating that the curator went to Madras to attend a meeting of the Sanskrit Examiners in connection with the question papers of the approaching M A Degree Exams and that the business of the meeting went on till noon on

on Sunday the 1st December VI
1901

With reference to the
above, has the honour
to enquire how long Mr
Mahadeva Sastri was
absent in Madras as
a member of the committee
of Sanskrit Examiners and
whether
how is that period to be
treated included in that for which
leave is now asked

By order
Secretary

Draft
MS
8-1-02

Submitted for orders

Recd 28/1/02

S Ed 261

Edn 784

Ed 21700-2

189

9211 24-1-02

MY

From H J Bhabha Esquire M A
The Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To
H V Nanjundayya Esquire M A M L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Dated 18th January 1902

Despatched

Received

General No 6022
No 200

No of Enclosures

With reference to the Secretary's docket No 11535/Ed 228/of the 11th January 1902, has the honour to inform that so far as the Inspector General is aware Mr Mahadeva Sastri B A Curator Oriental Library, Mysore was engaged in the business of the University Meeting of Examiners for two or three days till the afternoon of 1st December 1901 and that the casual leave for 5 days asked for by him commences on the 2nd December 1901 and the time spent in the business of the University is not included in the casual leave asked for

H J Bhabha
Inspector General

E, 21/1/02

There is no authority to treat absence on University business as duty Hon. Secretary to take leave for all the days, unless Govt is pleased to recognise an exception & may the I.G. be asked to apply for leave for all the days of absence on his behalf?

28/1/1902

To the 2nd Member Councillor

Recd 28/1/02

X Please see Page 1 of the notes

The information at present before me is not sufficiently complete to enable me to decide this question. I believe there is an order of Govt under which Fellows of the University are entitled to go to attend the sessions of [redacted]

6
Law. (21)
To
21 Gol-2
The Inspector General of
4 Education in Mysore

Correspondence ending with the Inspector General's ~~xxxxx~~ docket No 6022/200 dated the 18th January 1902 re the casual leave for five days applied for by Mr Mahadeva Sastri, Curator, Oriental Library, with effect from 2nd December 1901 No 1259 / 68 254 dt 30/1/02

With reference to the above has the honor to request definite information on the following points -

(1) When and on what authority, did Mr Mahadeva Sastri leave Mysore for Madras to attend a meeting of the Sanscrit Examiners in connection with the M A Degree Examination of the University?

(2) When did the meeting commence and did it continue to be held even on a Sunday (1st Dec 1901)?

(3) If the Examiners did not meet on Sunday the 1st of December 1901, what was the business of the meeting that reported to have gone on till the noon of that date?

(4) Why has leave been asked for and recommended, from the day following the termination of the meeting of the closing of business connected with it, instead of from the date

10 13

12/3

Edn 78]

OFFICE OF THE
11621
7 MAR 2
1902
CORE

6335

21901-2

FILE N

From H. V. Nanjunda Iyya Esq. M.A., B.L.,
The Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Govt. Order Depts.
Bangalore

Dated 28-2-1902

Despatched

Received

General No 7582
No 257

No of Enclosures

With reference to the Secretary's Docket No 12579/2d 254 of 30th January 1902 calling for information on certain points regarding Mr. A. Mahadeva Sastri's attendance at a meeting of the Sanskrit Examiners in connection with the M.A. Degree Examination of the University of Madras, has the honour to submit that Mr. A. Mahadeva Sastri left Mysore for Madr

The question is whether the "rule of the Department" referred to by Mr Bhabha should be recognised by Govt. Mr Bhabha & Mr Cook are recognised to attend Senate meetings on duty should the rule be extended to Cases of Examiners who are paid for their work? If so, why should not other offrs (not of the Deptt.) who attend such (Senate) meetings be also recognised to be absent on duty? Submitted to the Dewan Councillor

11/3/1902

Read [illegible] holidays in the practice in Madras or elsewhere in British India please

Please apply for the information already there the Residency [illegible] Govt. [illegible] Bhabha by

with the permission of the Inspector General on the morning of the 29th November to attend the meeting which commenced on the morning of the 30th Idem. The meeting was held again on the morning of Sunday the 1st December 1901 and continued till noon on that day It has been the practice of the Department to consider absence for attendance at such meetings and for other business connected with the university as absence on duty although the University pays the travelling expenses in such cases

H H Bhabha
Inspector General.

FILE No [illegible]

Bangalore
15/3/02

Dear Mr Shabbay

Please See your docket
No 7582 D 28th Feb 1901 furnish
ing information on certain
points regarding Mr A Maha
Deva Sastry's attendance at
a meeting of the Sanskrit Exami
ners in connection with the M A Exam.
Examination of the University of
Madras You refer therein to
a practice of the Department
according to which "absence
of officers going to Madras on
business connected with the
University is considered as
absence on duty, although
the University pays the
travelling expenses in
such cases May I request to be
informed of the practice
in Madras or elsewhere in
British India in this respect

Yours sincerely

Draft D-O
Yes
18/3/02

11
Edr

D Ō

OFFICE OF
THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION
IN MYSORE

Bangal 20 March 1912

Dear Mr Ramachandrayya,

As the Mysore College & High Schools are affiliated to the Madras University, it seems to me that work for the University should be considered as work for the Department. The University may pay the travelling expenses but it would hardly be fair to cut off the days of

12

absence from the ten days' casual leave permissible to most educational officers in a year. I am unable to give you precise information about the practice in Madras or Bombay. But I know numbers of the Agricultural Poona are allowed absent for the purpose of attending meetings in Bombay & they are

13

not compelled to take casual leave during their absence.

Yours sincerely
H J Bhabha

14

Read 6 Dec

FILE No. ~~Revenue~~ 2211/A/11-2-5079/1631 of 6th December 1901, from the Dgl of Education, in Mysore, recommending that Casual leave for 5 days from the 2nd December 1901 be granted to Mr A Mahadeva sastri, BA Curator, Oriental Library, Mysore, and stating that the Curator was absent in Madras to attend a meeting of the Sanskrit Examiners ~~of~~ in connection with the question papers of the M A Degree Examination (1901), and that the business of the meeting went on till noon on Sunday the 1st Dec 1901

Also ——

Correspondence ending with D.O letter of the 20th March 1902, from the same ~~Dgl of Education~~ officer, furnishing certain additional information called for in

in connection with the 1½
absence of the Curator in
Madras

Order thereon

No 17928-9
Ed 375 8/9/5/02

Under the circumstances
explained in the D.O correspondence
read above, the Casual leave
for 5 days with effect from the
2d Dec. 1901, applied for on
behalf of Mr A Mahadeva
Sastri, B.A is sanctioned,
under art. 171 of the M.S. Rgs

Draft
2d revises orders
6/5/02

J.W. 6/5
Secretary

To The Dy Ch of Ed. in Mysore
Comptroller, Mysore Govt